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About the Regional and Global Energy Interconnection (RGEI) Initiative

The RGEI Initiative was established at the 9th Clean Energy Ministerial (CEM) meeting in Copenhagen/Malmö in May 2018. RGEI’s objectives are to:

- Discuss conducive policy and regulatory frameworks for regional power system integration
- Build consensus on facilitating energy transition via increased proportion of renewable energy in energy consumption and enhanced grid interconnection
- Encourage CEM member countries to engage in the process of RGEI and seize collaborative opportunities

RGEI works with other regional and national technical organizations in the field of power system integration including State Grid Corporation of China, the Korea Electric Power Corporation, and others.
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- Grid Interconnection Today: An Overview
- Role of Political Will in Grid Interconnection
- Politics and Cross-Border Infrastructure
- Politics and Regional Institution-Building
Grid Interconnection: State of Play

- Global electricity trading volumes are low
  - Cross-border trades comprised 3% of all electricity consumption in 2015, though there is significant regional variation
- But growing enthusiasm for electricity exchange in many regions of the world
The Politics of Grid Interconnection

“If there is one overriding requirement for regional integration to be successful, it is that the countries need to have the political will to cooperate with their neighbors . . . ”

-- The Potential of Regional Power Sector Integration, Economic Consulting Associates, 2010 report for World Bank
Politics in Grid Interconnection

- **Potential political pros**
  - Economic efficiencies, cost savings, and reliability gains
  - Regional solidarity
  - Access to low-carbon resources

- **Potential political cons**
  - National-security risks of interdependence
  - Environmental impacts of cross-border infrastructure development
  - Displacement of local generation and concessions in domestic utility-sector “sector sovereignty”
Politics in Grid Interconnection

- Siting cross-border infrastructure
- Establishing regional intergovernmental institutions
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in Southeast Asia: Large Dams
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in Southeast Asia: Large Dams

- 2010 Energy Master Plan: Myanmar + Laos hydropower replacing thermal development in China, Vietnam, Thailand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importing country</th>
<th>Import in 2025</th>
<th>Percentage of country 2025 peak demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>18 900 MW (from Myanmar)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Thailand          | Total import: 7 700 MW  
- 5100 MW from Laos N & C  
- 150 MW from Laos S  
- 2100 MW from Myanmar  
- 330 MW from Malaysia | 15%                                   |
| Vietnam           | Total import: 5100 MW  
- 2600 MW from Laos-N & C  
- 2100 MW from Laos-S  
- 400 MW from Cambodia | 6.5%                                   |

Source: GMS 2010 Energy Master Plan
Note: Chinese peak demand reflects peak demand of Guangxi and Yunnan provinces as well as projected exports to Guangdong

Table 6.3-9: "Base case": level of imports
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in Southeast Asia: Large Dams

GMS 2010 Master Plan: 2025 Interconnection Vision
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in Southeast Asia: Large Dams

*Myitsone Dam, Myanmar*

*Nam Ngiep 1, Laos*
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in North America: Transmission Projects

- A comparison of two cross-border transmission line projects in North America
  - Northern Pass (eastern Canada/U.S.): Quebec (Canada) to Massachusetts (U.S.)
  - Great Northern Transmission Line (central Canada/U.S.): Manitoba (Canada) to Minnesota (U.S.)
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in North America: Transmission Projects
Siting Cross-Border Infrastructure in North America: Transmission Projects
Infrastructure Siting: Building Consensus

- Proactively incorporate political risk assessments into project evaluations
- Examine ways to proactively incorporate and address concerns of both government and non-government stakeholders
Intergovernmental Institution-Building

- Regional institutions and grid interconnection
  - Tasks from regulatory harmonization and stakeholder convening …
  - … to market operations, regional planning, and even regional dispatch
- Institutional demands of interconnection efforts can vary …
  - … but the more states involved, the more important it is to have formalized intergovernmental institutions to oversee power-trade efforts
Intergovernmental Institution-Building

• Comparing the politics of establishing intergovernmental institutions in power trade
  • Europe’s Internal Electricity Market
  • The Southern Africa Power Pool (SAPP)
  • The Greater Mekong Subregion’s power trade initiative

• Key elements that shaped their institutional trajectories
  • Interstate trust
  • The presence of existing institutional frameworks for political negotiation
  • “Political windows” and opportunities for issue linkages
Intergovernmental Institution-Building: Europe and Southern Africa

Figure 5 Power flows between countries in Europe (2015)
Source: ENTSO-e, Statistical Factsheet 2015
Intergovernmental Institution-Building: Europe and Southern Africa
Intergovernmental Institution-Building: Southeast Asia

- Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) power interconnection:
  - 1992: formation of the GMS Program Initiative under the Asian Development Bank (ADB)
  - 2002: establishment of Regional Power Trade Coordination Committee (RPTCC)
  - 2013: MoU to establish Regional Power Coordination Center to serve as permanent secretariat for RPTCC
Intergovernmental Institution-Building: The “Power Politics” of Power Pools

- The IEM and SAPP vs. the GMS
  - Interstate trust
  - Existing regional institutions in which to embed negotiation
  - Political windows and issue linkages
Conclusion

- Building stakeholder consensus: key takeaways
  - Cross-border infrastructure: political risk assessments and multi-stakeholder engagement to head off us-vs.-them dynamics
  - Intergovernmental institution-building: the value of trust-building gestures, regional political forums, and “issue linkage” strategies for creating the conditions for institutionalization
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